

---

**HISTORY**

**9389/31**

Paper 3 Interpretations Question

**October/November 2019**

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 40

---

**Published**

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2019 series for most Cambridge IGCSE™, Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

---

This document consists of **7** printed pages.

**Generic Marking Principles**

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:**

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:**

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:**

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:**

Rules must be applied consistently e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:**

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:**

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

**General levels of response**

The interpretation is taken to be what the historian says in the given extract, the nature of the claims made and the conclusions drawn. The approach is seen as what the historian brings to their study of the topic, what they are interested in, the questions s/he asks, the methods they use. There is a close relationship between the interpretation and the approach, since the former emerges from the latter. Marking will not insist on any rigid distinctions between the two. Marks will be awarded according to the following criteria. Markers will be instructed first to determine the level an answer reaches in relation to AO2(b), and to award a mark accordingly. In general, the mark subsequently awarded in relation to AO1(a) will be in the same level, since the ability to recall, select and deploy relevant historical material will be central to any effective analysis and evaluation of the interpretation. However, in exceptional cases, generally where answers lack effective contextual support, markers will have the discretion to award marks in different levels for the two assessment objectives.

<b>AO2(b)</b>	<b>Analyse and evaluate, in relation to historical context, how aspects of the past have been interpreted and represented in different ways</b>	<b>Marks</b>
Level 5	Demonstrates a complete understanding of the interpretation and of the approach(es) used by the historian in reaching this interpretation. Explains the interpretation/approach(es) using detailed and accurate references both to the extract and to historical context.	<b>17–20</b>
Level 4	Demonstrates a sound understanding of the interpretation and of the approach(es) used by the historian in reaching this interpretation. Explains the interpretation/approach(es) using the extract and historical context.	<b>13–16</b>
Level 3	Demonstrates understanding of aspects of the interpretation. Explains points made using the extract and historical context.	<b>9–12</b>
Level 2	Summarises the main points in the extract. Demonstrates some understanding of the historical context.	<b>5–8</b>
Level 1	Writes about some aspects of the extract. Includes some accurate factual references to the context.	<b>1–4</b>
Level 0	Response contains no relevant discussion.	<b>0</b>

<b>AO1(a)</b>	<b>Recall, select and use historical knowledge appropriately, and communicate knowledge and understanding of History in a clear and effective manner</b>	<b>Marks</b>
Level 5	Demonstrates detailed and accurate historical knowledge that is entirely relevant, and is able to communicate this knowledge clearly and effectively.	<b>17–20</b>
Level 4	Demonstrates detailed and generally accurate historical knowledge that is mainly relevant, and is able to communicate this knowledge clearly.	<b>13–16</b>
Level 3	Demonstrates mainly accurate and relevant knowledge, and is able to communicate this knowledge adequately.	<b>9–12</b>
Level 2	Demonstrates some accurate and relevant knowledge, and can communicate this knowledge.	<b>5–8</b>
Level 1	Demonstrates some knowledge, but ability to communicate is deficient.	<b>1–4</b>
Level 0	Demonstrates no relevant historical knowledge.	<b>0</b>

## Interpretation of the General Levels of Response

The critical decision in marking is on the correct level in AO2 in which to place an answer. All depends on the meaning of certain key words:

L5 – complete understanding of the interpretation: these answers show a consistent focus on the Big Message, with appropriate support from the extract and knowledge (which can be knowledge of interpretations as well as contextual knowledge).

L4 – sound understanding of the interpretation: these answers engage with elements of the Big Message, but without explaining the BM. They may only cover part of the BM. They may think the extract has *other* BMs, which actually are only sub-messages. They will also be properly supported.

L3 – understanding of aspects of the interpretation: these answers see the extract as an interpretation (i.e. the creation of an historian), but only engage with sub-messages which are supported, or identify aspects of the BM without properly supporting them, or show awareness of elements of the BM but make demonstrable errors elsewhere in the answer.

L2 – summarises the main points in the extract: at this stage there is work on the extract but this is simply on what it says. There is no valid explanation of the extract as an interpretation.

L1 – writes about some aspects of the extract: these answers barely engage with the extract. There are merely fragments of relevant material.

Question	Answer	Marks
1	<p><b>The Causes and Impact of British Imperialism, c.1850–1939</b></p> <p><b>Interpretation/Approach</b>            The main interpretation is that, based on the example of East Africa, <u>the main factor underpinning British imperialism was economic power, but that before the 1890s this produced an ‘unofficial empire’ rather than a formal one.</u> Showing understanding of the Big Message will involve discussion of both these aspects. The extract argues that there were many factors and influences behind British penetration into East Africa, which interacted in a haphazard manner. The interpretation rejects a straightforward economic motive for imperialism.</p> <p><u>Glossary:</u> the two main areas of interpretation have been (i) on whether imperial policy was determined at the centre (the metropole) or at the periphery (in the territories of the empire). This can involve debates on who was making the decisions at the centre (the ‘official mind’, ‘gentlemanly capitalists,’ etc.) or at the periphery (the ‘man on the spot’): and (ii) on whether the British Empire was characterised by a preference for formal (i.e. direct rule over annexed territory) or informal (i.e. indirect control mainly through and for commercial interests). What counts is how appropriate the use of this kind of terminology is in relation to the extract, and how effectively the extract can be used to support it.</p>	40

Question	Answer	Marks
2	<p><b>The Holocaust</b></p> <p><b>Interpretation/Approach</b>            The main interpretation is that <u>Hitler ordered the extermination of the Jews, thus bearing prime responsibility, and that the historian thus believes in the idea of a 'Führer Order'.</u> Showing understanding of the Big Message will involve discussion of both these aspects. For L4 (sound understanding of the interpretation) it will be sufficient to explain the first aspect of the Big Message. For L5 (complete understanding of the interpretation) candidates must understand that the focus of the interpretation is the issue of whether or not there was a 'Führer Order', and that this historian believes there was. The notable aspect of the interpretation is that the 'Führer Order' did exist, and in so far as it was given before the invasion of Russia it must indicate that a Functionalist interpretation of the Final Solution (i.e. that the Final Solution was a response to the changing circumstances of war in the East) is untenable. Any 'label' other than Intentionalist will indicate weakness in understanding the interpretation. Only the Intentionalist 'label' will be acceptable in L4/L5.</p> <p><u>Glossary:</u> Candidates may use some/all of the following terms: <i>Intentionalism</i> – interpretations which assume that Hitler/the Nazis planned to exterminate the Jews from the start. <i>Structuralism</i> – interpretations which argue that it was the nature of the Nazi state that produced genocide. There was no coherent plan but the chaotic competition for Hitler's approval between different elements of the leadership produced a situation in which genocide could occur. <i>Functionalism</i> is closely related to structuralism. It sees the Holocaust as an unplanned, ad hoc response to wartime developments in Eastern Europe, when Germany conquered areas with large Jewish populations. Candidates may also refer to <i>synthesis</i> interpretations, i.e. interpretations which show characteristics of more than one of the above. What counts is how appropriate the use of this kind of terminology is in relation to the extract, and how effectively the extract can be used to support it.</p>	40

Question	Answer	Marks
3	<p data-bbox="288 212 1102 248"><b>The Origins and Development of the Cold War, 1941–1950</b></p> <p data-bbox="288 280 632 315"><b>Interpretation/Approach</b></p> <p data-bbox="288 315 1345 683">The main interpretation is that <u>the Cold War was caused not by ideology but by real strategic issues, but that ideology was nonetheless highly important in how the conflict could be represented.</u> Showing understanding of the Big Message will involve discussion of both these aspects. Answers in L5 (complete understanding of the interpretation) will demonstrate awareness that the interpretation is an assessment of the relative importance of ideology. Answers can be awarded L4 (sound understanding of the interpretation) by using the extract to show that the argument is that both sides bear some element of blame (even if this blames ideological differences), but this must be properly supported. If an answer does use one of the topic ‘labels’, only post-revisionist will be acceptable in L4/L5.</p> <p data-bbox="288 719 1342 1122"><u>Glossary:</u> <i>Traditional/Orthodox</i> interpretations of the Cold War were generally produced early after the Second World War. They blame the Soviet Union and Stalin’s expansionism for the Cold War. <i>Revisionist</i> historians challenged this view and shifted more of the focus onto the United States, generally through an economic approach which stressed the alleged aim of the US to establish its economic dominance over Europe. <i>Post-revisionists</i> moved towards a more balanced view in which elements of blame were attached to both sides. Since the opening of the Soviet archives post-1990 there has been a shift to attributing prime responsibility to Stalin – a <i>post-post-revisionist</i> stance which often seems very close to the traditional view. What counts is how appropriate the use of this kind of terminology is in relation to the extract, and how effectively the extract can be used to support it.</p>	40